

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/599,583	Applicant(s) SWARTZ ET AL.	
	Examiner Ernest G. Therkorn	Art Unit 1797	

All Participants:

(1) Ernest G. Therkorn.

(2) Anthony J. Janiuk .

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 31 July 2009

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☒ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

None

Claims discussed:

Claims 9 and 12

Prior art documents discussed:

None

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

The examiner proposed the changes listed in the examiner's amendment to place the case in condition for allowance. Anthony J. Janiuk then authorized the changes by examiner's amendment.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)